



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/494,567 01/31/2000		Martin Vorbach	2885/29	3627	
26646	7590	04/16/2004	EXAMINER		NER
KENYON & KENYON				MEONSKE, TONIA L	
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2183	Ø
				DATE MAILED: 04/16/2004	7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		^						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_					
	09/494,567	VORBACH ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_					
•	Tonia L Meonske	2183						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM						
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versions are provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ja	nuary 2004.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pr	osecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 12-35 is/are pending in the application	٦.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-35</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	pjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	· ·	·						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	` ''	ed						
coo the attached detailed office action for a list	or the certified copies flot receive							
Attachment(c)								
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal I	Patent Application (PTO-152)						
F	-, <u> </u>							

Application/Control Number: 09/494,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 14 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 4, the limitation "and one of reconfigures" does not make sense. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 12-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Casselman, US Patent 5,802,290, in view of Rodgers et al., US Patent 5,889,982 and Schrofer, US Patent 4,682,284.
- 5. Referring to claim 25, Cassleman has taught a system for run-time reconfiguration of a programmable unit, the programmable unit including a plurality of reconfigurable function cells in a multidimensional arrangement (Casselman, abstract, Figure 3), comprising:
 - a. a primary logic unit in communication with at least one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells, the primary logic unit configured to detect an event and to detect a state of the at least one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells (Casselman, Figure 5, column 3, lines 14-21, column 4, lines 35-46);

Application/Control Number: 09/494,567 Page 3

Art Unit: 2183

b. a first memory configured to store a first configuration data associated with a selected one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells (Casselman, Figure 4, The memory area where the configuration bit files that are produced by the mother FPGA are stored.); and

- c. the primary logic unit configured to reconfigure the selected one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells if the selected one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells is in a reconfigurable state (Casselman, Figure 5, column 3, lines 14-21, column 4, lines 35-46).
- 6. Casselman has not specifically taught a jump table coupled to the primary logic unit having a plurality of entries, at least one of the plurality of entries configured to store a memory address of the first configuration data, wherein when the primary logic unit detects the event, the primary logic unit calculates the address of the at least one of the plurality of entries in the jump table based on a source of the event, retrieves the memory address, and retrieves the stored first configuration data based on the memory address. Casselman has taught that rapidly transitioning between operating modes, or configuration modes, is desirable (Column 3, lines 4-20).
- Rodgers has taught an efficient method for transitioning between operating modes.

 Rodgers has taught a jump table coupled to the primary logic unit having a plurality of entries(Rodgers et al., column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3), at least one of the plurality of entries configured to store a memory address of the first configuration data (Rodgers et al., column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3), wherein when the primary logic unit detects the event, the primary logic unit calculates the address of the at least one of the plurality of entries in the jump table based on a source of the event (Rodgers et al., column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3, The

Page 4

'Art Unit: 2183

exception vector is the address for the entry in the event ROM. The address is calculated based on the source of an event, or type of exception.), retrieves the memory address, and retrieves the stored first configuration data based on the memory address (Rodgers et al., Abstract, column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3, In order to invoke the microcode event handler the entry in the table must be retrieved.) in order to service mode switching events efficiently (Rodgers et al., column 17, lines 29-41). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the efficient method of transitioning between operating modes using look-up tables, as taught by Rodgers et al., into the invention of Casselman, for the desirable purpose of rapidly transitioning between varying configuration modes of the PLU's.

8. Furthermore, Casselman has not taught a FIFO memory coupled to the primary logic unit configured to store a plurality of configuration data associated with the plurality of reconfigurable function cells, the plurality of configuration data including the first configuration data, the first configuration data stored in the FIFO memory if the selected one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells is not in a reconfiguration state, and the primary logic unit configured to reconfigure the selected one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells if the selected one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells is in a reconfigurable state.

However, Shrofer has taught a FIFO memory coupled to the primary logic unit configured to store a plurality of data associated with a plurality of function cells including a first data (Schrofer, Abstract Figure 3, element 301, Column 2, lines 29-34). Shrofer has further taught the first data is stored in the FIFO memory if the selected one of the plurality of function cells is busy (Schrofer, Abstract Figure 3, element 301, Column 2, lines 29-34, column 5, lines 40-50, If the executing apparatus is not ready to receive requests, then the request is stored in the queue

'Art Unit: 2183

for later processing.) for the desirable purpose of being able to queue up requests to the executing apparatus even when the executing apparatus is not ready itself to accept requests (Column 5, lines 40-50). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the invention of Casselman, include the claimed one or more FIFO memory areas, as taught by Shrofer, for the desirable purpose of being able to queue up configuration data to the PLU's even when the PLU's cannot currently be reconfigured (Column 5, lines 40-50) so that the system may continue processing data even when the PLU's are not ready to be reconfigured.

Page 5

- 9. Referring to claim 26, Casselman has taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the first memory is configured to store a plurality of configuration data, at least one configuration data from the plurality of configuration data including a complete configuration of the at least one of the plurality of reconfiguration function cells (Column 12, lines 18-39, When an FPGA is reconfigured to implement a user selected algorithm, the configuration memory stores a complete configuration bit file.).
- 10. Referring to claim 27, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the first memory is configured to store at least one subconfiguration data configured to represent only a part of a complete configuration of the at least one of the plurality of reconfiguration function cells (Column 12, lines 18-39, When an FPGA is reconfigured to implement only a portion of a user selected algorithm, the configuration memory stores a subconfiguration representing only a part of a complete configuration of the units.).

Art Unit: 2183

- 11. Referring to claim 28, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains a start configuration register which points to a start configuration that puts the at least one of the plurality of reconfiguration function cells in a valid state (Rodgers et al., column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3, The entry read out of the table points at a start configuration that puts the units in a valid state.).
- 12. Referring to claim 29, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains a FIFO start register which points to a start of a memory area to which a configuration data is copied (Schrofer, Column 9, lines 5-30).
- 13. Referring to claim 30, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains a FIFO end register which points to an end of a memory area to which a configuration data is copied (Schrofer, Column 9, lines 30-57, Column 12, lines 57-63).
- 14. Referring to claim 31, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains a FIFO free entry register which points to a free entry of a memory area to which a configuration data is copied and which is closest to a start of the memory area (Schrofer, Column 9, lines 30-57).
- 15. Referring to claim 32, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains a program counter register which points to an entry to be processed within the first

'Art Unit: 2183

memory (Rodgers et al., Abstract, column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3, The register which holds the pointer to the jump table.)

- 16. Referring to claim 33, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains an address register which points to an address of the cell which has triggered the event (Rodgers et al., Abstract, column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3, The address of the cell which triggered an event must inherently be stored in a register.).
- 17. Referring to claim 34, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains a data register containing a configuration data which is transmitted to the at least one of the plurality of reconfiguration function cells in a reconfiguration (Casselman, Figure 4, The register where the configuration bit files that are produced by the mother FPGA are stored.).
- 18. Referring to claim 35, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 25, as described above, and wherein the primary logic unit contains a dispatch register which contains the address of an entry in the jump table calculated from a cell address (Rodgers et al., Abstract, column 16, line 50-column 17, line 3, The address of the entry must inherently be stored in a register.).
- 19. Claim 12 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 25 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 25 above.
- 20. Referring to claim 13, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 12, as described above, wherein, when the primary logic unit detects the event (When the PLU of Casselman needs reconfigured. Also see Shrofer,

'Art Unit: 2183

column 3, lines 48-57), the primary logic unit reads the FIFO memory to determine whether a configuration data from the plurality of configuration data is stored in the FIFO memory (Schrofer, Abstract, Columns 2 and 3, Column 2, lines 29-34).

- 21. Referring to claim 14, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 13, as described above, and wherein, when the primary logic unit determines that no configuration data is stored in the FIFO memory (Schrofer, Abstract, Columns 2 and 3, Column 2, lines 29-34), the primary logic unit retrieves the memory address (Casselman, Figure 4, The address of the memory area where the configuration bit files that are produced by the mother FPGA is retrieved.), retrieves the first configuration data from the first memory based on the memory address (Casselman, Figure 4, In order to reconfigure the FPGA the configuration bit file must inherently be retrieved.), and one of reconfigures the selected one of the plurality of reconfigurable function cells based on the configuration data if the selected one of the plurality of reconfiguration data in the FIFO memory if the selected one of the plurality of reconfiguration data in the FIFO memory if the selected one of the plurality of reconfiguration cells is not in a reconfigurable state (Schrofer, Abstract, Columns 2 and 3, Column 2, lines 29-34).
- 22. Referring to claim 15, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 13, as described above, and wherein, when the primary logic unit determines that some configuration data from the plurality of configuration data is stored in the FIFO memory, if the first configuration data is not stored in the FIFO memory, the primary logic unit retrieves the first configuration data from the first memory based on the

'Art Unit: 2183

memory address, and stores the first configuration data in the FIFO memory (Schrofer, Abstract, Columns 2 and 3, Column 2, lines 29-34, The FIFO is queued up with configuration data.).

- 23. Referring to claim 16, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 13, as described above, and wherein, when the primary logic unit determines that some configuration data from the plurality of configuration data is stored in the FIFO memory, if the first configuration data is the first entered into the FIFO memory, the primary logic unit retrieves the first configuration data from the FIFO memory (Schrofer, Abstract, Columns 2 and 3, Column 2, lines 29-34).
- 24. Referring to claim 17, Casselman in combination with Rodgers et al. and Shrofer have taught the system according to claim 13, as described above, and wherein, when the primary logic unit determines that some configuration data from the plurality of configuration data is stored in the FIFO memory, if the first configuration data is stored in the FIFO memory and is not the first entered into the FIFO memory, the primary logic unit does not retrieve the first configuration data (Schrofer, Abstract, Columns 2 and 3, Column 2, lines 29-34, This claim is merely the definition of a FIFO.).
- 25. Claim 18 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 25 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 25 above.
- 26. Claim 19 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 13 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 13 above.
- 27. Claim 20 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 14 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 14 above.

Application/Control Number: 09/494,567 Page 10

'Art Unit: 2183

28. Claim 21 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 14 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 14 above.

- 29. Claim 22 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 15 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 15 above.
- 30. Claim 23 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 16 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 16 above.
- 31. Claim 24 does not recite limitations above the claimed invention set forth in claim 17 and is therefore rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claim 17 above.

Response to Arguments

- 32. Applicant's arguments filed January 30, 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 33. On pages 8 and 9, Applicant argues in essence:

"None of the cited references teach or suggest the recited limitations, and in particular the notion of having reconfiguration data from multiple cells stored on a <u>common</u> FIFO".

However, claimed subject matter, not the specification, is the measure of invention.

Limitations in the specification cannot be read into the claims for the purpose of avoiding the prior art. *In re Self*, 213 USPQ 1,5 (CCPA 1982); *In re Priest*, 199 USPQ 11,15 (CCPA 1978). In this case Applicant has not specifically claimed a common or shared FIFO. Therefore this argument is moot.

Conclusion

'Art Unit: 2183

- 34. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 35. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.
- 36. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tonia L Meonske whose telephone number is (703) 305-3993. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9-6:30.
- 37. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie P Chan can be reached on (703) 305-9712. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 12

Application/Control Number: 09/494,567

"Art Unit: 2183

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tlm

İ